

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

and to what seems to be the more logical view. A recent case, Alpha Realty & Rental Co. v. Randolph et al. (Colo. 1912), 127 Pac. 245, contrary to the principal case, and in accord with the weight of authority, is noted in 11 MICH L. REV. 266.

Waters—Action by Property Owner Against Water Company for Loss by Fire.—Defendant had entered into a contract with the city of S. to furnish water, among other purposes, for fire protection. It was further agreed that defendant should upon certain notice from the city, lay additional pipe and install new hydrants. Plaintiff, having been compelled to pay the amount of an insurance policy to an assured whose property in S. had been burned, sued defendant to recover damages alleged to have been suffered by reason of defendant's failure to put in new pipes and hydrants as ordered by the city pursuant to the contract. Held that plaintiff should not recover. German Alliance Ins. Co. v. Home Water Supply Co., (1912) 33 Sup. Ct. 32.

Unfortunately the court was not called upon to decide the correctness of the court's dictum in *Guardian Trust & D. Co.* v. *Fisher*, 200 U. S. 57, 50 L. ed. 367, 26 Sup. Ct. 186, to the effect that for negligent failure on part of a private water company to furnish sufficient pressure in the hydrants there is a liability of the company in tort for damages thereby suffered by a property owner whose property is destroyed by fire. The court said in the principal case that the action was "not for negligence in operating the plant, but for breach of the contract of construction." See as to the general question 5 Mich. L. Rev. 362; 8 Mich. L. Rev. 485.

WILLS—ESTATES DEVISED—CONDITIONAL FEE AT COMMON LAW.—A man by his will devised land to his son and to the heirs of his own body. The son died without having gotten any issue. Held that this was a fee conditional at common law, and the condition having been fulfilled, the land reverted to the heirs of the original testator. Sagers v. Sagers (Iowa, 1912) 138 N. W. 911.

A fee conditional at common law is an estate limited to particular heirs, as of the body of the donee. The condition is that if donee die without such heirs, the estate shall revert to the grantor and his heirs; but after the birth of the particular issue to the donee he was held to have a fee simple, in so far that he might charge or alien the land as a fee simple or forfeit it for treason. Brian's case, 34 Edw. III; Rood, Cases on Property (2d ed.)' 56; I Plowd. 227, 241; 2 BL. COM III; I SPENCE, EQ. JUR. 141; Croxall v. Sherrard, 5 Wall. 268; Pierson v. Lane, 60 Ia. 60. Such alienation must take effect during the life of the life tenant, wherefore such cannot devise his fee conditional. Jones v. Postell, Harp. L. 92; Burnett v. Burnett, 17 S. C. 545. Nor can he covenant to stand seized to himself for life, remainder to J. S. in fee. Bedingfield's Case, Cro. Eliz. 895; Machil v. Clark, 2 Salk. 619; Seymour's Case, 3 Rep. 84b, 10 Rep. 96a. After birth of issue, husband may have curtesy in fee conditional to his wife. Wright v. Herron, 5 Rich. Eq. 441; Withers v. Jenkins, 14 S. C. 597; BAC. ABR, tit. Curtesy: and the wife may have dower in a like case, Yearbooks (Pike) 33 and 34 Edw. 111,